

ADEQ

ARKANSAS
Department of Environmental Quality

October 3, 2003

Ms. Dorinda Suitor
Utility Manager
Arkadelphia Water Utilities
P.O. Box 495
Arkadelphia, Arkansas 71923

Re: City of Arkadelphia (NPDES #AR0020605) Evaluation of Maximum Allowable Headworks Loadings (MAHL) and Pretreatment Ordinance

Ms. Suitor:

After reviewing the latest information received please accept the following regarding referenced topic:

Documents, data and software used in this office's review included: 1) the City's eight (8) influent / effluent data; 2) the city's two (2) domestic background analytical results; 3) ADEQ Water Division's Quattro-Pro program for calculation of MAHLs and WQ based "levels not to exceed" (avg. monthly permit limits if necessary) and: 4) EPA's "Guidance Manual on the Development of Local Discharge Limitations Under the Pretreatment Program" (12/87).

Our conversations on 10/2/03 indicated biosolids' disposition at this time is "not generated" at the POTW. Therefore, protection of land application criteria under 40 CFR 503 is not being considered at this time. The remaining criteria for calculating MAHLs are protection against pass through (exceedance of water quality [WQ] standards) and protection against POTW inhibition.

Find enclosed sheets with information used to calculate your POTW MAHLs.

Spreadsheet (SS) 1 calculates estimated removal efficiencies using City-submitted data from April of '02. As footnoted, EPA default removal efficiencies were used for all parameters except Cu, Ag and Zn since the majority of the submitted analyticals for inf/eff were non-detect (ND).

SS 2 estimates domestic loading based on an avg. POTW flow of 2.5 MGD subtracting one (1) categorical industrial user's flow of 0.044 MGD. Again, most of the parameters were ND. Those values were entered at 1/2 ND for estimating lbs/day. EPA default #s were not used.

SSs 3 & 4 show information used, and calculation results showing what Arkadelphia's effluent "levels not to exceed" (permit limits - avg. monthly levels) would be using **current** Reg. #2 WQ criteria and permit writers' procedures. These values will remain static unless any regulations or permitting procedures change.

At this time, no permit limits for the parameters in this study are deemed necessary for Arkadelphia. Comparison of any future effluent results (not NPDES permit required) to these "levels not to exceed" will give a quick evaluation whether you're getting close to exceeding any of these values. Effluent data you submitted from 4/02 shows all parameters (Hg unknown because of method detection levels) have a substantial safety factor (See enclosed influent/effluent summary sheet).

SS 5 is the summary and "substance" of the documentation for Arkadelphia's currently estimated Maximum Allowable Headworks Loadings "not to exceed" for protection against interference and pass through. The MAHLs have been converted to maximum concentrations (MAHC) using an avg. POTW flow of 2.5 MGD. Future influent analysis (again, not NPDES required) for these parameters can be used to self-evaluate whether these MAHCs are in danger of being exceeded. Influent values submitted back in 4/02 would indicate substantial safety margins (see enclosed influent/effluent summary sheet).

Also shown on SS 5 are the Maximum Allowable Industrial Loadings (MAIL). These are the results of subtracting a 20% safety (growth) factor and the domestic loadings for each parameter. Your present MAILs indicate generous amounts of the various parameters remaining for future industry growth (except Hg). Please contact this office if there are any questions regarding this summary sheet and its meaning.

Summary Comments and Conclusion:

If it is desired by City officials at this time to adopt the "Model EPA Pretreatment Ordinance" (located at the following website and several "pages" down into it: http://cfpub1.epa.gov/npdes/pkeyword.cfm?keywords=pretreatment&program_id=0R), it is advised to include a narrative description in your "Local Limits" (Section 2.4 of the Model Ordinance on page 11) referencing the attached MAHLs where the basis can be found to "establish local limits as necessary by the [appropriate city official]" or words to that effect.

Some cities in Arkansas have demonstrated technically based local limits (TBLL) aren't necessary and found it adequate to include only a narrative in their ordinances. MAHLs are dynamic (even from day to day but shouldn't be substantially different from those enclosed) and may be revised from time to time depending on domestic and industry make-up. A narrative regarding "local limits" circumvents the revision and adoption process for a new ordinance each time MAHLs are re-evaluated/ revised. Four (4) examples are enclosed. In Arkadelphia's present case, it's suggested to use similar language.

Also enclosed is an influent/effluent summary sheet that Pretreatment Cities throughout this State are required to submit with their annual reports. This form is being supplied to you for your own convenience and possible use. This office reiterates that influent/effluent sampling and analysis is NOT required by your NPDES permit.

If there are further questions or concerns, feel free to contact this office at 501.682.0625 or via e-mail at Gilliam@adeq.state.ar.us.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Allen R. Gilliam".

Allen R. Gilliam
ADEQ State Pretreatment Coordinator

Encl: Quattro Pro Spreadsheets; example ordinance narratives regarding local limits; inf/eff summary sheet

cc: Lee Bohme/EPA 6WQ-PO

Spreadsheet 1

| Arkadelphia Removal %s with limited data | | | | | | | | | | | | | (see footnote at bottom) | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|--|--------------------------|--|--|
| Influent | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date | Cadmium | Copper | Lead | Mercury | Nickel | Selenium | Silver | Zinc | Chromium | Cyanide | Arsenic | | | | |
| 04/29/02 | 0.00013 | 0.01200 | 0.00210 | 0.00020 | 0.01000 | 0.00200 | 0.01400 | 0.05200 | 0.00700 | 0.01000 | 0.00100 | | | | |
| 04/24/02 | 0.00010 | 0.00990 | 0.00100 | 0.00020 | 0.01000 | 0.00200 | 0.01100 | 0.03700 | 0.00700 | 0.01000 | 0.00100 | | | | |
| 04/22/02 | 0.00010 | 0.01500 | 0.00160 | 0.00020 | 0.01000 | 0.00200 | 0.01000 | 0.05800 | 0.00700 | 0.01000 | 0.00100 | | | | |
| 04/17/02 | 0.00014 | 0.01300 | 0.00370 | 0.00020 | 0.01000 | 0.00200 | 0.00310 | 0.07600 | 0.00700 | 0.01000 | 0.00100 | | | | |
| 04/15/02 | 0.00010 | 0.00630 | 0.00190 | 0.00020 | 0.01700 | 0.00200 | 0.00020 | 0.03300 | 0.00700 | 0.01000 | 0.00100 | | | | |
| 05/08/02 | 0.00011 | 0.02400 | 0.00140 | 0.00020 | 0.01000 | 0.00200 | 0.00085 | 0.08600 | 0.00700 | 0.01000 | 0.00100 | | | | |
| 05/06/02 | 0.00011 | 0.01200 | 0.00130 | 0.00020 | 0.01000 | 0.00200 | 0.00180 | 0.09600 | 0.00700 | 0.01000 | 0.00100 | | | | |
| 05/01/02 | 0.00017 | 0.02500 | 0.00280 | 0.00020 | 0.01000 | 0.00200 | 0.00370 | 0.12000 | 0.00700 | 0.01000 | 0.00100 | | | | |
| Detection Level | 0.0010 | 0.0100 | 0.0050 | 0.0002 | 0.0400 | 0.0050 | 0.0020 | 0.0200 | 0.0100 | 0.0200 | 0.0100 | | | | |
| Average | 0.0001 | 0.0147 | 0.0020 | 0.0002 | 0.0109 | 0.0020 | 0.0056 | 0.0698 | 0.0070 | 0.0100 | 0.0010 | | | | |
| Maximum | 0.0002 | 0.0250 | 0.0037 | 0.0002 | 0.0170 | 0.0020 | 0.0140 | 0.1200 | 0.0070 | 0.0100 | 0.0010 | | | | |
| >Detection Level | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | | | | |
| Effluent | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date | Cadmium | Copper | Lead | Mercury | Nickel | Selenium | Silver | Zinc | Chromium | Cyanide | Arsenic | | | | |
| 04/29/02 | 0.00010 | 0.00600 | 0.00100 | 0.00020 | 0.01000 | 0.00200 | 0.00074 | 0.01200 | 0.00700 | 0.01000 | 0.00100 | | | | |
| 04/24/02 | 0.00010 | 0.00600 | 0.00100 | 0.00020 | 0.01000 | 0.00200 | 0.00071 | 0.01100 | 0.00700 | 0.01000 | 0.00100 | | | | |
| 04/22/02 | 0.00010 | 0.00600 | 0.00100 | 0.00020 | 0.01000 | 0.00200 | 0.00065 | 0.00200 | 0.00700 | 0.01000 | 0.00100 | | | | |
| 04/17/02 | 0.00010 | 0.00600 | 0.00100 | 0.00020 | 0.01000 | 0.00200 | 0.00081 | 0.01900 | 0.00700 | 0.01000 | 0.00100 | | | | |
| 04/15/02 | 0.00010 | 0.00600 | 0.00100 | 0.00020 | 0.01000 | 0.00200 | 0.00075 | 0.01800 | 0.00700 | 0.01000 | 0.05000 | | | | |
| 05/08/02 | 0.00010 | 0.00600 | 0.00100 | 0.00020 | 0.01000 | 0.00200 | 0.00070 | 0.01500 | 0.00700 | 0.01000 | 0.00100 | | | | |
| 05/06/02 | 0.00010 | 0.00100 | 0.00100 | 0.00020 | 0.01000 | 0.00200 | 0.00066 | 0.01200 | 0.00700 | 0.01000 | 0.00100 | | | | |
| 05/01/02 | 0.00010 | 0.00810 | 0.00100 | 0.00020 | 0.01000 | 0.00200 | 0.00080 | 0.01600 | 0.00700 | 0.01000 | 0.00100 | | | | |
| Detection Level | 0.001 | 0.01 | 0.005 | 0.0002 | 0.040 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | | | | |
| Average | 0.0001 | 0.0056 | 0.0010 | 0.0002 | 0.0100 | 0.0020 | 0.0007 | 0.0160 | 0.0070 | 0.0100 | 0.0071 | | | | |
| Maximum | 0.0001 | 0.0081 | 0.0010 | 0.0002 | 0.0100 | 0.0020 | 0.0008 | 0.0190 | 0.0070 | 0.0100 | 0.0500 | | | | |
| >Detection Level | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | | | | |
| % Rem | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average | Cadmium | Copper | Lead | Mercury | Nickel | Selenium | Silver | Zinc | Chromium | Cyanide | Arsenic | | | | |
| | 17 | 62 | 49 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 87 | 77 | 0 | 0 | -613 | | | | |
| EPA % REM | 67 | 86 | 61 | 60 | 42 | 50 | 75 | 79 | 82 | 69 | 45 | | | | |
| *Use EPA default | * | | * | * | * | * | | | * | * | * | | | | |

| | | Arkadelphia | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|------------|--------------|---------|-------------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| Pollutants | EPA, P3-59 | Avg Reported | Loading | Domestic Loadings based on 2.46 MGD | | | | | | | |
| | mg/l | mg/l | Lbs/day | | | | | | | | |
| Cadmium Total | 0.0030 | 0.0002 | 0.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Copper Total | 0.0601 | 0.0490 | 1.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Lead Total | 0.0490 | 0.0035 | 0.07 | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury Total | 0.0003 | 0.0000 | 0.01 | | | | | | | | |
| Nickel Total | 0.0210 | 0.0050 | 0.10 | | | | | | | | |
| Selenium Total | - | 0.0010 | 0.02 | | | | | | | | |
| Silver Total | 0.0050 | 0.0002 | 0.00 | | | | | | | | |
| Zinc Total | 0.1750 | 0.1435 | 2.94 | | | | | | | | |
| Chromium Total | 0.0500 | 0.0035 | 0.07 | | | | | | | | |
| Cyanide Total | 0.0410 | 0.0050 | 0.10 | | | | | | | | |
| Arsenic | 0.0030 | 0.0028 | 0.06 | | | | | | | | |
| Molybdenum | - | ? | 0.00 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date | Cadmium | Copper | Lead | Mercury | Nickel | Selenium | Silver | Zinc | Chromium | Cyanide | Arsenic |
| 08/23/02 | 0.00019 | 0.06400 | 0.00480 | 0.00000 | 0.00500 | 0.00100 | 0.00029 | 0.19000 | 0.00350 | 0.00500 | 0.00050 |
| 08/21/02 | 0.00013 | 0.03400 | 0.00220 | 0.00000 | 0.00500 | 0.00100 | 0.00010 | 0.09700 | 0.00350 | 0.00500 | 0.00050 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detection Level | 0.0010 | 0.0100 | 0.0050 | 0.0002 | 0.0400 | 0.0050 | 0.0020 | 0.0200 | 0.0100 | 0.0200 | 0.0100 |
| Average | 0.0002 | 0.0490 | 0.0035 | 0.0000 | 0.0050 | 0.0010 | 0.0002 | 0.1435 | 0.0035 | 0.0050 | 0.0028 |
| Maximum | 0.0002 | 0.0640 | 0.0048 | 0.0000 | 0.0050 | 0.0010 | 0.0003 | 0.1900 | 0.0035 | 0.0050 | 0.0050 |
| Yes/No | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | No |

| WQ info for estimating MAHLs | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Permittee | City of Arkadelphia | | | | | | | | | |
| Receiving Stream | Ouchita River | | | | | | | | | |
| Permit number | AR0020605 | | | | | | | | | |
| Design Flow (Qd) | 3.00 | MGD | Average Flow (Qa) | 2.5 | MGD | | | | | |
| Design Flow (Qd) | 4.64 | CFS | Industries Flow (Qi) | 0.044 | MGD (from Torrence) | | | | | |
| 7Q10 = | 379.00 | CFS | Domestic Flow(Qdo) | 2.46 | MGD | | | | | |
| Long Term Average = | 4425.00 | CFS | TSS for: | | | | | | | |
| Using Diffusers | no | Yes/No | Gulf Coastal = 5.5 mg/l | Ouachita Mount = 2 mg/l | | | | | | |
| pH = | 6.94 | S.U. | Ark River Valley = 3 mg/l | Ozark Highlands = 2.5 mg/l | | | | | | |
| Total Hardness | 28.00 | mg/l | Boston Mount = 1.3 mg/l | Delta = 8 mg/l | | | | | | |
| TSS | 5.5 | mg/l | | | | | | | | |
| Chronic Aquatic Toxicity: | 0.25 | Total Hardness for: | | | | | | | | |
| Acute Aquatic Toxicity: | 0.13 | Arkansas River = 125 mg/l | | | Red River = 211 mg/l | | | | | |
| | | Ouachita River = 28 mg/l | | | St. Francis River = 103 mg/l | | | | | |
| For the following receiving enter 0.06 in cell "C17 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | White River = 116 mg/l | | | | | | | | |
| Mississippi, Arkansas, Red River. | | | Gulf Coastal = 31 mg/l | | | Ouachita Mount = 31 mg/l | | | | |
| White (Below confluence with Black River) | | | Ozark Highlands = 148 mg/l | | | Ark River Valley = 25 mg/l | | | | |
| Ouachita (below Confluence with Little Miss. River) | | | Boston Mount = 25 mg/l | | | Delta = 81 mg/l | | | | |
| Upstream Flow (Qb) = | 94.75 | (Chronic) | 49.27 | (Acute) | | | | | | |
| Pollutant Concentration Upstream (Cb) = 0 ug/l | | | | | | | | | | |
| Water Effect Ratio(WER) | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | |
| Cancer Risk Level: | 1.00E-05 | (STATE); 1.00e-6 | (EPA) | | | | | | | |
| IWC = Instream concentration of pollutant after mixing with the receiving stream | | | | | | | | | | |
| IWC = (Cd*Qd + Cb*Qb)/(Qb + Qd) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cd = Pollutant concentration in the effluent (ug/l) = Reported value as Total | | | | | | | | | | |

SS 5

| Pollutant | % Rem | City of Arkadelphia's estimated MAHLs, etc. | | Sludge | Sludge+ | Inhibition** | Inhibition++ | MAHL | MAHC^ | Domestic | Allocation for %SF | MAIL | Max Influent | Max Effluent | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|---|----------------|--------|---------|--------------|--------------|-------|---------|----------|--------------------|-------|--------------|--------------|------|---------|-------|---------|------|---------|---------|------|---------|----------|---------|---------|--------------|
| | | Water Quality | Water Quality* | | | | | | | | | | | | mg/l | lbs/day | mg/kg | lbs/day | mg/l | lbs/day | lbs/day | mg/l | lbs/day | lbs/day^ | lbs/day | vs MAHC | vs WQS(mg/l) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cadmium Total | 67 | 0.0402 | 2.5401 | 85 | 0 | 1.00 | 20.85 | 2.54 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 2.03 | 2.03 | No | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Copper Total | 62 | 0.1381 | 7.4805 | 4300 | 0 | 1.00 | 20.85 | 7.48 | 0.36 | 1.00 | 5.98 | 4.98 | No | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lead Total | 61 | 0.0726 | 3.8818 | 840 | 0 | 1.00 | 20.85 | 3.88 | 0.19 | 0.07 | 3.11 | 3.03 | No | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury Total | 60 | 0.00029 | 0.0150 | 57 | 0 | 0.10 | 2.09 | 0.01 | 0.00072 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | No | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nickel Total | 42 | 2.5881 | 93.0395 | 420 | 0 | 1.00 | 20.85 | 20.85 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 16.68 | 16.58 | No | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Selenium Total | 50 | 0.1196 | 4.9893 | 100 | 0 | 0.20 | 4.17 | 4.17 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 3.34 | 3.32 | No | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Silver Total | 87 | 0.0130 | 2.0883 | | | 0.25 | 5.21 | 2.09 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 1.67 | 1.67 | No | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zinc Total | 77 | 1.2336 | 112.1231 | 7500 | 0 | 0.30 | 6.25 | 6.25 | 0.30 | 2.94 | 5.00 | 2.06 | No | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chromium Total | 82 | 7.1870 | 832.4986 | 3000 | 0 | 1.00 | 20.85 | 20.85 | 1.00 | 0.07 | 16.68 | 16.61 | No | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cyanide Total | 69 | 0.1244 | 8.3692 | | | 0.10 | 2.09 | 2.09 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 1.67 | 1.57 | No | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Arsenic | 45 | | | 75 | 0 | 0.10 | 2.09 | 2.09 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 1.67 | 1.61 | No | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Molybdenum | 50 | | | 75 | 0 | 0.20 | 4.17 | 4.17 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 3.34 | 3.34 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dry tons/day of sludge | | 0.00 | Safety Factor | 0.20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * lbs/day = mg/l * 8.34 * average flow / (1-%Rem) | | Average flow = | | 2.50 | | MGD | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ** Page 3-44 of EPA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| + lbs/day = (dry tons/day * 0.002 * criteria(mg/kg)) / % Rem | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ++ lbs/day = mg/l * average Flow * 8.34 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^ lbs/day = (1 - SF) * MAHL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MAIL = Maximum allowable industrial loading = Allocation for % SF - Domestic | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MAHL = Maximum allowable headworks level (most stringent of WQ, Sludge, and inhibition in unit of lbs/day) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ^ MAHC = MAHL converted to mg/l using avg. flow | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ***** = Not Available | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |